

JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS
MICHAEL S. LEE, UTAH
TED CRUZ, TEXAS
JOSH HAWLEY, MISSOURI
THOM TILLIS, NORTH CAROLINA
JOHN KENNEDY, LOUISIANA
MARSHA BLACKBURN, TENNESSEE
ERIC SCHMITT, MISSOURI
KATIE BOYD BRITT, ALABAMA
ASHLEY MOODY, FLORIDA
DARLINE GRAHAM, SOUTH CAROLINA

RICHARD J. DURBIN, ILLINOIS
SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, RHODE ISLAND
AMY KLOBUCHAR, MINNESOTA
CHRISTOPHER A. COONS, DELAWARE
RICHARD BLUMENTHAL, CONNECTICUT
MAZIE HIRONO, HAWAII
CORY A. BOOKER, NEW JERSEY
ALEX PADILLA, CALIFORNIA
PETER WELCH, VERMONT
ADAM B. SCHIFF, CALIFORNIA

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6275

August 27, 2026

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

Mr. António Guterres
Secretary-General
United Nations

Dear Secretary-General Guterres:

For years, I've raised concerns about United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and Department of State (DOS) funding for humanitarian aid, grants, and development assistance falling into the hands of terrorist organizations.¹ On October 7, 2023, the terrorist group Hamas launched horrific terrorist attacks in Israel, killing 1,200 people, raping women, and taking 250 people hostage, including Americans.² Since those horrific attacks, public reporting has alleged that staff members at the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA) participated in them.³

According to a July 31, 2024, United Nations (UN) Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS) Investigations Division report, OIOS received "allegations of the involvement of some UNRWA staff members in Gaza in the armed attacks of 7 October 2023 in southern Israel" from Israeli authorities.⁴ As a result of their investigation, OIOS made findings regarding the 19 UNRWA staff allegedly involved in the attacks.⁵ Specifically, OIOS found that in nine of the cases, there was insufficient evidence to support their involvement in the October 7th attacks.⁶ OIOS found that in one case, there was no evidence provided that supported the allegations of

¹ Christian Coalition, *Grassley Presses USAID Nominee on Effort to Remove Terror Funding Group from Sanctions List* (Feb. 2021), <https://cc.org/grassley-presses-usaid-nominee-on-effort-to-remove-terror-funding-group-from-sanctions-list/>; U.S. Senate Finance Committee, *World Vision Financial Transactions* (Dec. 2020), <https://www.finance.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Oversight,%2012-23-20,%20Memo%20on%20World%20Vision%20Investigation.pdf>; Letter from Senator Charles E. Grassley to DLA Piper (Apr. 2024), https://www.grassley.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/grassley_to_dla_piper_-_world_vision.pdf; Kate Shellnutt, *Senator Demands to Know if World Vision Is Funding Terrorism* (Sep. 2023), CHRISTIANITY TODAY, <https://www.christianitytoday.com/2023/09/world-vision-halabi-grassley-terrorism-money/>; Letter from Sen. Charles E. Grassley to World Vision (Aug. 2023), https://www.grassley.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/grassley_to_world_vision_-_terrorist_ties.pdf; Letter from Senator Charles E. Grassley to USAID (Aug. 2023), https://www.grassley.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/grassley_to_usaid_-_world_vision.pdf; Letter from Senator Charles E. Grassley to U.S. Department of the Treasury (Feb. 2026), https://www.grassley.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/grassley_to_treasury_-_taliban_funding_revisited.pdf; Letter from Sen. Charles E. Grassley to Department of State (Jul. 2023), https://www.grassley.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/grassley_to_blinken_-_taliban_funding.pdf; Letter from Sen. Charles E. Grassley to Department of State (Oct. 2023), https://www.grassley.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/grassley_to_blinken_-_taliban_funding_follow-up.pdf.

² Office of Senator Charles E. Grassley, *Q&A: One Year Since October 7 Attacks* (Oct. 3, 2024), <https://www.grassley.senate.gov/news/news-releases/qanda-one-year-since-october-7-attacks>; The Associated Press, *Today in History: October 7, surprise attacks spark Israel-Hamas War* (Oct. 7, 2025), ASSOCIATED PRESS, <https://apnews.com/today-in-history/october-7>.

³ Office of Senator Charles E. Grassley, *Q&A: One Year Since October 7 Attacks* (Oct. 3, 2024), <https://www.grassley.senate.gov/news/news-releases/qanda-one-year-since-october-7-attacks>; The Associated Press, *Today in History: October 7, surprise attacks spark Israel-Hamas War* (Oct. 7, 2025), ASSOCIATED PRESS, <https://apnews.com/today-in-history/october-7>.

⁴ Adam Kredo, *Exclusive: UN Is Actively Obstructing US Probe Into Links Between Its Gaza Relief Groups and Hamas, as Americans Warn UN To Divorce 'Cancerous UNRWA'* (July 30, 2026), WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, <https://freebeacon.com/israel/exclusive-un-is-actively-obstructing-us-probe-into-links-between-its-gaza-relief-groups-and-hamas-as-americans-warn-un-to-divorce-cancerous-unrwa/>.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.*

involvement in the October 7th attacks.⁷ Finally, OIOS determined that there was evidence indicating that nine staff members may have allegedly been involved in the October 7th.⁸

However, according to an USAID Office of Inspector General (OIG) memorandum provided to my office, the OIG found defects during its review of the OIOS report.⁹ The OIG noted that OIOS initially refused to provide an unredacted copy of the report and eventually relented.¹⁰ First, the USAID OIG noted that OIOS stated that it could not “independently authenticate” the evidence presented by the Israeli government.¹¹ The OIG highlighted that the information from the Israeli government had been obtained from Hamas and was considered “collected enemy materials.”¹² Second, OIOS failed to provide any evidence indicating the information was not authentic.¹³ Moreover, OIOS reportedly “did not meet with subject UNRWA staff and possible corroborating witnesses to conduct interviews and take statements.”¹⁴ According to the USAID OIG, it did not identify evidence to “suggest that the authenticity of the materials collected by the Israeli government should be questioned.”¹⁵

Second, the OIG raised concerns with the limited scope and focus of the OIOS investigation.¹⁶ Specifically, the OIG notes that the OIOS investigation “did not review whether the UNRWA staff members were current or former members of Hamas.”¹⁷ The memorandum further states that OIOS could have expanded the scope of review, “but they chose not to do so.”¹⁸

Finally, the OIG memorandum appears to question the methodology behind OIOS’s review of UNRWA staff email archives.¹⁹ The OIG notes that the OIOS report did not share key word searches used as part of the review of email archives, nor was there any “indication from the information provided in the report that OIOS conducted any sort of review of information available outside of the email archives.”²⁰ These alleged defects raise serious concerns as to the quality of the OIOS investigation.

As a result of their own independent investigation into UNRWA, the USAID OIG referred seventeen of the nineteen individuals from the OIOS report to the State Department for debarment.²¹ Based on the USAID OIG’s findings, the State Department has debarred just one

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ Attachment 1.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² *Id.*

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ Adam Kredo, *Exclusive: UN Is Actively Obstructing US Probe Into Links Between Its Gaza Relief Groups and Hamas, as Americans Warn UN To Divorce 'Cancerous UNRWA'* (July 30, 2026), WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, <https://freebeacon.com/israel/exclusive-un-is-actively-obstructing-us-probe-into-links-between-its-gaza-relief-groups-and-hamas-as-americans-warn-un-to-divorce-cancerous-unrwa/>. (OIOS Report Page 4)

¹⁵ Attachment 1.

¹⁶ Attachment 1.

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ *Id.*

²⁰ *Id.*

²¹ Adam Kredo, *Exclusive: UN Is Actively Obstructing US Probe Into Links Between Its Gaza Relief Groups and Hamas, as Americans Warn UN To Divorce 'Cancerous UNRWA'* (July 30, 2026), WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, <https://freebeacon.com/israel/exclusive-un-is-actively-obstructing-us-probe-into-links-between-its-gaza-relief-groups-and-hamas-as-americans-warn-un-to-divorce-cancerous-unrwa/>.

of the nineteen individuals identified in the OIOS report—Hafez Mousa Mohammed Mousa.²² According to the USAID OIG, the Trump-State Department is continuing to pursue debarments of the other sixteen named subjects based on USAID OIG’s ongoing investigation.²³ Further reporting indicates that the USAID OIG has expanded their investigation to “at least 1,500 suspected [Hamas] militants with UNRWA ties.”²⁴ To date, the USAID OIG has reportedly referred more than 100 UNRWA employees to the State Department for debarment.²⁵

I am also concerned about the UN’s reported lack of cooperation with the USAID OIG’s investigation into U.S. taxpayer-funded aid programming in Gaza, as well as vetting failures by the UN to ensure taxpayer dollars do not fall into the hands of Hamas or other terrorist organizations.²⁶ On March 31, 2026, the USAID OIG published a report titled, “Continuing Oversight of U.S.-Funded Aid Programming in Gaza (Part 1: United Nations),” which summarizes their investigation titled “Operation Stop the Carousel,” which seeks to “prevent the recirculation of individuals affiliated with terrorist groups across aid organizations receiving U.S. funding in Gaza, including the United Nations (UN) and nongovernment organizations (NGOs).”²⁷ The March 2026 report noted a “lack of adequate vetting mechanisms” due to the fact that “USAID policies exempted UN organizations from the vetting process that the Agency required of NGOs and contractors. As a result, the U.S. government has relied on the UN to vet its own staff responsible for performing U.S. taxpayer-funded activities and programs on the ground.”²⁸ The USAID OIG found that the vetting systems and mechanisms the UN uses for their employees have “vulnerabilities that limit the assurance that terrorist-affiliated staff have not been onboarded.”²⁹ According to the OIG, concerns about these vulnerabilities remain because “awards to the UN and other public international organizations do not require prior vetting of personnel through a U.S. government system.”³⁰

Further, the report also outlines a lack of responsiveness by the UN in response to the USAID OIG’s oversight requests.³¹ The American taxpayers support the UN with billions of

²¹ *Id.*

²² *Id.*

²³ Notes and emails on file with Committee Staff; Adam Kredo, *Exclusive: UN Is Actively Obstructing US Probe Into Links Between Its Gaza Relief Groups and Hamas, as Americans Warn UN To Divorce 'Cancerous UNRWA'* (July 30, 2026), WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, <https://freebeacon.com/israel/exclusive-un-is-actively-obstructing-us-probe-into-links-between-its-gaza-relief-groups-and-hamas-as-americans-warn-un-to-divorce-cancerous-unrwa/>.

²⁴ Adam Kredo, *EXCLUSIVE: US Probe of Embattled UN Gaza Relief Agency Expands to 1,500 Staffers Suspected of Hamas Ties: UNRWA Could Soon Be Labeled a 'Foreign Terrorist Organization'* (May 28, 2026), THE WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, <https://freebeacon.com/national-security/exclusive-us-probe-of-embattled-un-gaza-relief-agency-expands-to-1500-staffers-suspected-of-hamas-ties-unrwa-could-soon-be-labeled-a-foreign-terrorist-organization/>.

²⁵ Josh Christenson, *More than 100 UNRWA staff helped Hamas carry out Oct. 7 attack: federal watchdog* (June 8, 2026), NY POST, <https://nypost.com/2026/06/08/us-news/more-than-100-unrwa-staff-helped-hamas-carry-out-oct-7-attack-federal-watchdog/>.

²⁶ Office of Inspector General, U.S. Agency for International Development, *Memorandum* (May 13, 2025), <https://oig.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/2025-08/Additional%20Observations%20on%20Challenges%20to%20Oversight%20and%20Accountability%20Over%20Foreign%20Assistance%20as%20a%20Whole.pdf> (“The issues featured in this memorandum include: 1. Resistance from UN agencies and foreign-based NGOs to sharing information about potential misconduct with OIG...”).

²⁷ Adam Kredo, *Exclusive: UN Is Actively Obstructing US Probe Into Links Between Its Gaza Relief Groups and Hamas, as Americans Warn UN To Divorce 'Cancerous UNRWA'* (July 30, 2026), WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, <https://freebeacon.com/israel/exclusive-un-is-actively-obstructing-us-probe-into-links-between-its-gaza-relief-groups-and-hamas-as-americans-warn-un-to-divorce-cancerous-unrwa/>.

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ *Id.*

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ *Id.* (According to the USAID OIG Report, “The [USAID OIG] did not receive the requested information from The World Food Programme (WFP) or United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) both of whom received U.S. government funding in Gaza; Four UN agencies provided partial responses: the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), the United Nations Children’s Fund

dollars annually, and it is expected that the UN will comply with U.S. Government oversight requests. So that Congress may better understand the use of, and protections in place for, the humanitarian aid provided by American taxpayers, please respond to the following questions no later than September 10, 2026:

1. Describe the rationale for the scope of the OIOS investigation to exclude Hamas affiliation or membership. Provide all records.³²
2. Will the UN and its agencies commit to cooperating fully and completely, including providing all responsive records, with all Congressional and U.S. Government oversight requests? If not, why not?
3. What steps has the UN taken to ensure that that U.S. taxpayer dollars are not used to fund Hamas or other terrorist groups? Provide all records.
4. Provide all records in an unredacted format that OIOS reviewed and compiled as part of its investigation into UNRWA employees participating in the October 7, 2023, attacks.
5. Provide a list of all employees who work for the UN and its affiliated agencies who are affiliated with Hamas. Include the name of the employee, the nature of the accusations, as well as the supporting evidence, and what agency they are affiliated with.

Your cooperation and prompt attention to this matter would be appreciated. If you have any questions, please contact Tucker Akin with my Committee staff at (202) 224-5225.

Sincerely,



Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary

(UNICEF), the International Organization for Migration (IOM), and the World Health Organization (WHO); None of the responding UN recipients provided the requested information pertaining to their personnel.”).

³² “Records” include any written, recorded, or graphic material of any kind, including letters, memoranda, reports, notes, electronic data (emails, email attachments, and any other electronically created or stored information), calendar entries, inter-office communications, meeting minutes, phone/voice mail or recordings/records of verbal communications, and drafts (whether they resulted in final documents).