1	THE LOUIS D. BRANDEIS CENTER		
	FOR HUMAN RIGHTS UNDER LAW		
2	Paul M. Eckles (State Bar. No. 181156)		
	Deena Margolies (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted	red)	
3	Rebecca L. Harris (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> to be		
	submitted)		
4	1330 Avenue of the Americas, 23 rd Fl.		
	New York, NY 10019		
5	Telephone: (202) 559-9296		
	peckles@brandeiscenter.com		
6	dmargolies@brandeiscenter.com		
	<u>rharris@brandeiscenter.com</u>		
7			
	OLIVIER & SCHREIBER PC		
8	Rachel Bien (State Bar No. 351886)		
	595 E. Colorado Blvd., Suite 418		
9	Pasadena, CA 91101		
	Telephone: (323) 325-3430		
10	Facsimile: (415) 658-7758		
	rachel@os-legal.com		
11	Christian Schreiber (State Bar No. 245597)		
	475 14th Street, Suite 250		
12	Oakland, CA 94612		
	Telephone: (415) 484-0980		
13	Facsimile: (415) 658-7758		
	<u>christian@os-legal.com</u>		
14			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Dr. Yael Nativ		
15			
	SUDEDIAD CAUDT FAD	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
1611			
	ALAMEDA COUNTY UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
17		I VIL VOIMSDICTION	
18	DR. YAEL NATIV,	Case No.	
19	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
	Tidiitiii,	COM EMINITOR.	
20	VS.	1. FEHA Discrimination	
	v 5.	2. FEHA Failure to Prevent	
21	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF	3. Cal. Educ. Code § 66270	
	CALIFORNIA AND DOES 1-10,	Discrimination	
22	CALIFORNIA AND DOES 1-10,	Discinimation	
	Defendants.		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This lawsuit addresses the University of California, Berkeley's ("Berkeley") unlawful discrimination against Plaintiff that Berkeley's discrimination office itself found violated the University's Nondiscrimination Policy.
- 2. Plaintiff Dr. Yael "Yali" Nativ is a dance researcher and sociologist who teaches dance in Israel. She previously served as a visiting professor at Berkeley. She was invited to apply to return to Berkeley to again serve as a visiting professor, but that invitation was taken off the table after October 7, 2023. Instead, Dr. Nativ's application to return was denied expressly because she is Israeli.
- 3. Berkeley's discrimination office commenced an investigation on February 15, 2024, and on September 24, 2024, after a thorough, seven-month-long investigation, found in Dr. Nativ's favor that she was the victim of national origin discrimination in violation of Berkeley's Nondiscrimination Policy. Berkeley found that the rationales offered after the fact for denying Dr. Nativ's application were pretextual, and that the real reason for Dr. Nativ's rejection is that she is Israeli.
- 4. Discrimination against an applicant for employment on the basis of national origin is prohibited by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), Cal. Gov. Code § 12940, and by the Equity in Higher Education Act, Cal. Educ. Code § 66270.
- 5. Despite numerous attempts at conciliation by Dr. Nativ, Berkeley has failed to take any action to remedy the violation.
- 6. This case comes in the context of an alleged failure by Berkeley to address anti-Semitism on its campus, as set forth in *The Louis D. Brandeis Center, Inc. v. Regents of the University of California*, Case No. 3:23-cv-06133-JD (N.D. Cal.).
- 7. Dr. Nativ seeks this Court's intervention to provide a remedy for the discrimination she has suffered and injunctive relief to protect Dr. Nativ and other Israeli prospective employees from discrimination based on their national origin.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Plaintiff Dr. Nativ is an Israeli citizen domiciled in Israel.
- 9. Defendant Regents of the University of California is a public agency with its principal place of business in Oakland, California. Berkeley is a University of California campus located in Berkeley, California.
- 10. The Court has original jurisdiction over this action, which asserts claims under California state law based on events that occurred in the state of California.
- 11. Venue is proper in this Court because Alameda County is the jurisdiction for both Oakland and Berkeley.
- 12. Prior to filing this action, Plaintiff exhausted all administrative requirements by timely filing a complaint with the California Civil Rights Department ("CRD") alleging that Berkeley discriminated against her by denying her employment based on her national origin. Plaintiff received a "right to sue" letter from the CRD on August 18, 2025, and timely brought this action thereafter.

PARTIES

- 13. Plaintiff Dr. Nativ is a natural person and a citizen of Israel, domiciled in Israel, who was previously employed as a visiting professor at Berkeley.
- 14. Dr. Nativ has a Ph.D. in the Sociology of Education from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem; a Master of Arts in Creative Arts Education from San Francisco State University; and a Bachelor of Arts from the Sorbonne University in Paris, France. She is a published scholar, researcher, and educator in the field of dance, with a focus on sociological, anthropological, and cultural contexts. In Israel, Dr. Nativ has served as an Adjunct Senior Lecturer at the School for Society and Art at Ono Academic College and the School of Education at Wingate Levinsky Academic Center, Chairwoman of the Israeli Choreographers Association, and a Co-Founder and Board member of the Israeli Association for Dance Research.
- 15. Defendant Regents of the University of California is a public agency within the meaning of Cal. Gov't Code § 7920.525(a) and is empowered under the California Constitution,

Article IX, Section 9, to administer the University of California. The University of California is a public university system founded by the California State Assembly and operated by the State of California. Berkeley is a campus of the University of California.

- 16. Plaintiff is currently unaware of the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued in this action by the fictitious names DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues those Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiff shall seek leave to amend the Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named Defendants when they are ascertained.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant sued in this action acts and acted, in all respects pertinent to this action, as the agent of the other Defendants, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and that the acts of each Defendant are legally attributable to the other Defendants.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant sued in this action, including each Defendant sued by the fictitious names DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, is directly or indirectly responsible in some manner for the occurrences, controversies and damages alleged herein, in various capacities, including but not limited to serving as joint employer, joint tortfeasor, single enterprise, alter ego, or agent of the other Defendants. Each Defendant approved, participated in, controlled, or ratified the acts of all other Defendants.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Berkeley Invited Dr. Nativ to Teach as a Visiting Professor.

- 19. In January 2022, Dr. Nativ began her employment at Berkeley to teach a course on Intersectional Perspectives on Contemporary Dance in Israel in Berkeley's Department of Theater, Dance, and Performance Studies (the "TDPS Department"). She was on Berkeley's payroll as an employee for the duration of the Spring semester, receiving salary payments from the University every two weeks.
- 20. The course went well. Dr. Nativ initiated various events and collaborations over the course of the semester. She was invited to give a lecture on dance in Israel to the students of Dr. SanSan Kwan, then a regular faculty member in the TDPS Department and now the

Department's Chair. At the end of the semester, she was asked to take part in an event for donors of Berkeley's Helen Diller Institute for Jewish Law and Israel Studies ("HDI").

- 21. Dr. Nativ was pleased with the success of the course and found her experience teaching at Berkeley very fulfilling. She was thrilled when HDI invited her to apply for another semester.
- 22. Both Dr. Kwan and Rebecca Golbert, the Executive Director of HDI who directly oversees the Visiting Faculty and Scholars Program that brings Israeli faculty to teach at Berkeley and was involved in selecting Dr. Nativ in 2022 and funding her course, expressed their hope that Dr. Nativ would return and teach again at Berkeley.
- B. Shortly After the October 7, 2023, Hamas Attack on Israel, Berkeley Rejects Dr.Nativ's Application to Return as a Visiting Professor Because She Is Israeli.
- 23. HDI invited and encouraged Dr. Nativ to submit an application to return as a visiting professor. Toward the end of the Spring 2022 semester, Dr. Kwan and Golbert spoke to Dr. Nativ about their desire to host Dr. Nativ again in the future. Dr. Kwan again discussed this with Dr. Nativ in March 2023, when Dr. Kwan traveled to Israel on an HDI-funded trip, during which Dr. Nativ facilitated meetings with Israeli dance scholars and invited Dr. Kwan to give a joint lecture with her about nationality and dance.
- 24. On July 27, 2023, Dr. Nativ received an email from HDI expressing gratitude for her prior work as a visiting faculty member and encouraging her to reapply for the 2024-2025 academic year. Dr. Nativ was eager to return and teach again at what she considered to be one of the most prestigious academic institutions in the world.
- 25. In August 2023, Dr. Nativ submitted her application materials to HDI, stating her intention to teach the same dance course that she taught in 2022.
- 26. On November 9, 2023, a few weeks after Hamas' brutal October 7 attack on Israel, Golbert emailed Dr. Kwan to inform her that Dr. Nativ had submitted her application, as requested, to return to teach at Berkeley for the Fall 2024 semester. Golbert wrote that HDI wanted to host Dr. Nativ again and hoped the TDPS Department felt the same way.

- 27. On November 17, Dr. Kwan responded that the TDPS Department would not host Dr. Nativ again and that she would inform Dr. Nativ of the decision.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Golbert believed that the decision to reject Dr. Nativ's application was because she is Israeli.
- 29. In response to Dr. Kwan's November 17 email, Golbert expressed her disappointment in Dr. Kwan's decision and her belief that the decision not to hire Dr. Nativ was misguided and related to the ideological divisions over Israel that Dr. Kwan was facing in her department.
- 30. Dr. Kwan did not contest Golbert's characterization of the situation, writing back that she was sorry and that she was in a difficult situation.
- 31. Later the same day, in a direct message on the messaging app WhatsApp, Golbert wrote to Dr. Nativ about Dr. Kwan's decision. Golbert wrote that she was "not very happy with [Dr. Kwan] at the moment" because "[s]he just declined [HDI's] request to host you as a visiting professor in fall 2024." Golbert added that she was "so sorry about this response, which I struggle to think is not politically tinged."
- 32. The next day, on November 18, 2023, Dr. Kwan notified Dr. Nativ that her application was being denied because she is Israeli. In a direct message on WhatsApp, Dr. Kwan wrote: "[M]y dept cannot host you for a class next fall. ... Things are very hot here right now and many of our grad students are angry. I would be putting the dept and you in a terrible position if you taught here." Dr. Kwan's WhatsApp message did not cite a single non-discriminatory basis for the denial of Dr. Nativ's application.
- 33. Dr. Nativ was shocked and devastated. She responded: "I'm so sad and broken all around, for everyone in all sides. Yet, the biggest disappointment and pain come from my/our academic scholars and colleagues mostly in American universities. The level of ignorance, hate, and the inability to make an effort for a complex discourse is astounding and appalling. This is not how I was educated embracing ideas of power structures and critical reflection. I know you are in a difficult position. Yet I hope, knowing how sharp you are and your high level of integrity,

that you do make this effort with your students, colleagues and wherever you are."

34. Dr. Nativ received no reply from Dr. Kwan.

C. Berkeley Determines It Discriminated Against Dr. Nativ.

- 35. On December 31, 2023, the Israeli newspaper Haaretz published an opinion piece written by Dr. Nativ on its English-language website, titled "Berkeley Gave in to Fear and Division When It Canceled My Invitation After October 7," in which she criticized Berkeley's decision not to hire her because of her nationality.
- 36. Berkeley was quickly made aware of the article in the days that followed, including in the form of complaint emails to the Office of the Chancellor from various concerned alumni. In an email on January 10, 2024, Assistant Vice Chancellor Dan Mogulof from Berkeley's Office of Communications and Public Affairs responded to a complaint from two such alumni, in which he stated that Berkeley had learned of Dr. Nativ's allegations from her Haaretz article and wrote: "These are serious allegations which, if substantiated, would be wholly inconsistent with Berkeley's values and practices. Consequently, campus administrators are now looking into the matter." Mogulof added that Berkeley "hope[d] to complete that fact-finding process in the next week or so." The University ultimately took nearly nine months to complete its investigation.
- 37. Berkeley's Nondiscrimination Policy prohibits discrimination against "any person employed [or] seeking employment" with the University "on the basis of," inter alia, "race, religion, color, citizenship, national or ethnic origin, ancestry, ... or veteran or military status." This policy "applies to all employment practices, including recruitment [and] selection" of candidates.
- 38. On February 15, 2024, Berkeley's Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination ("OPHD") notified Dr. Nativ that it had opened an investigation into whether Dr. Kwan had discriminated against her for being Israeli.
- 39. On September 20, 2024, Dr. Nativ received an email from Elliot Yancor, a Civil Rights and Whistleblower Project Analyst in OPHD, sharing OPHD's Notice of Outcome of Investigation and Report of Investigation (the "Investigation Report"). On September 24, 2024,

- a. Dr. Nativ was a member of a protected class, Israeli national origin.
- b. Dr. Nativ—as a prior visiting faculty member who was invited to re-apply,
 did re-apply, and had her application denied—suffered an adverse action as
 an applicant for employment at Berkeley.
- c. Dr. Nativ met the application criteria for the position. It was undisputed that her prior employment at Berkeley was successful. Dr. Kwan encouraged Dr. Nativ's interest in returning to teach and expressed hope in finding an opportunity for her to do so.
- d. Dr. Kwan's after-the-fact nondiscriminatory rationale for not hosting Dr.
 Nativ again for Fall 2024 was "pretextual."
- e. A preponderance of the evidence therefore demonstrated that Dr. Kwan discriminated against Dr. Nativ on the basis of national origin in violation of Berkeley's Nondiscrimination Policy.

D. Berkeley Fails to Remedy its Discrimination.

- 44. The Notice of Outcome instructed Dr. Nativ that she had an opportunity to respond in writing to OPHD's report and conclusion and to propose an outcome she felt would be appropriate to remedy the discrimination against her. The Notice further stated that OPHD was referring the case to Vice Provost Victoria Plaut, who would determine what actions would be appropriate to take and would notify Dr. Nativ by letter of the final outcome of her case.
- 45. One week later, on September 27, 2024, Dr. Nativ wrote to Vice Provost Plaut at the email address provided to describe steps that Dr. Nativ proposed Berkeley should take to remedy the discrimination that the University had committed against her. Dr. Nativ expressed her gratitude that Berkeley was (as she wrongly believed at the time) willing to redress its wrongdoing, and proposed thoughtful, reasonable, non-punitive measures: (1) an apology from Berkeley and the TDPS Department; (2) an invitation to return to teach again at Berkeley as a visiting professor; and (3) some allocation of resources to help faculty and students "reflect and manage extreme conflictual situations on campus and in the classroom, with special attention and

emphasis on issues of racism and antisemitism."

- 46. On November 17, 2024, Dr. Nativ emailed Plaut, Yancor, and Investigations and Deputy Title IX Officer Elizabeth Rome, requesting an update on her case and the remedies she had proposed, noting that she had "not heard from anyone since our last correspondence" more than three weeks prior. Another three weeks passed with continued radio silence.
- 47. On December 9, 2024, Dr. Nativ emailed Vice Provost Plaut again seeking an update on the outcome of her case and reminding Plaut that she had been told to expect further information.
- 48. Vice Provost Plaut finally responded another week later on December 17, 2024, apologizing for the "delayed reply" but writing only that Dr. Nativ's "matter is still currently under review."
- 49. Another two months passed. Dr. Nativ received no further communication from Vice Provost Plaut—or any other Berkeley administrator—regarding their promise to remedy the discrimination they had admitted to committing against Dr. Nativ. On February 17, 2025, Dr. Nativ sent a further follow-up email to Plaut, Yancor, and Rome, inquiring once again about an update in her case and asking for a "time frame as to when ... you expect the review process will come to a decision."
- 50. On March 25, 2025—after more than a month of further non-responsiveness—Vice Provost Plaut replied curtly that she was "not able to provide a timeline right now."
- 51. After another nearly two months of silence from Berkeley, Dr. Nativ sent yet another email to the Vice Provost's office on May 15, 2025, politely requesting an update on the status of her case and the promise to remedy her discrimination. She received no reply.
- 52. To date, Berkeley has not informed Dr. Nativ of any action it has taken or plans to take to remedy its discrimination against Dr. Nativ.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Fair Employment and Housing Act Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(a) National Origin Discrimination

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 54. The California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA") provides, in relevant part, that "[i]t is an unlawful employment practice . . . [f]or an employer, because of the race, religious creed, color, national origin, [or] ancestry . . . of any person . . . to refuse to hire or employ the person or . . . to discriminate against the person in compensation or in terms, conditions, or privileges of employment." Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(a).
- 55. FEHA prohibits discrimination against Israelis on the basis of national origin. Plaintiff's status as an Israeli national brings her within FEHA's protections.
- 56. Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination by Berkeley on the basis of her Israeli national origin. Plaintiff was treated differently by Berkeley because she is Israeli. By its actions, Berkeley intended to treat Plaintiff differently based on her Israeli identity as compared to similarly situated non-Israeli persons.
- 57. Berkeley refused to hire or employ Plaintiff as a visiting professor, thereby denying her the professional and financial benefits of that contract and opportunity, because of her Israeli identity.
- 58. Berkeley admitted it took such adverse action against Plaintiff when its Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination found in Plaintiff's favor after investigating a complaint of discrimination against her, concluding in its Investigation Report that Plaintiff suffered an adverse action as an applicant for employment at Berkeley.
- 59. Plaintiff was injured as a result of Defendant's actions by losing an employment and educational opportunity that would have significantly benefited her career, suffering reputational harm, emotional distress, and other harms that violate her rights.
- 60. Plaintiff has suffered harm as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions in the form of general and special damages in an amount to be determined at trial, including but

not limited to economic damages, compensatory damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.

61. Because of Berkeley's unwillingness to redress its discrimination against Dr. Nativ, her injuries remain ongoing. Thus, absent injunctive and declaratory relief against Berkeley, Plaintiff will continue to be harmed as a result of Berkeley's actions.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

California Fair Employment and Housing Act Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(k) Failure to Prevent Discrimination

- 62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 63. FEHA provides, in relevant part, that "[i]t is an unlawful employment practice . . . [f]or an employer . . . to fail to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring." Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(k).
- 64. FEHA prohibits discrimination by employers against employees as well as against job applicants. *See* Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(a).
- 65. Plaintiff was a job applicant who was subjected to discrimination by Berkeley on the basis of her Israeli national origin. Plaintiff was treated differently by Berkeley because she is Israeli. By its actions, Berkeley intended to treat Plaintiff differently based on her Israeli identity as compared to similarly situated non-Israeli persons.
- 66. Berkeley failed to take all reasonable steps to prevent discrimination against Plaintiff.
- 67. Plaintiff was injured as a result of Berkeley's actions by losing an employment and educational opportunity that would have significantly benefited her career, suffering reputational harm, emotional distress, and other harms that violate her rights.
- 68. Plaintiff has suffered harm as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions in the form of general and special damages in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to economic damages, compensatory damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and

attorneys' fees and costs.

69. Because of Defendant's failure to prevent discrimination against Plaintiff, the discrimination against and injuries to Plaintiff remain ongoing. Thus, absent injunctive and declaratory relief against Berkeley, Plaintiff will continue to be harmed as a result of Berkeley's actions.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION California Education Code Cal. Educ. Code § 66270 National Origin Discrimination

- 70. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 71. The Equity in Higher Education Act, Cal. Educ. Code §§ 66250 et seq., provides, in relevant part, that "[n]o person shall be subjected to discrimination on the basis of . . . nationality, race or ethnicity . . . in any program or activity conducted by any postsecondary educational institution that receives, or benefits from, state financial assistance or enrolls students who receive state student financial aid." Cal. Educ. Code § 66270.
- 72. Plaintiff is a "person" who is subject to the Equity in Higher Education Act's antidiscrimination protections.
- 73. The Equity in Higher Education Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of "nationality" or "national identity," which "includes a person's actual or perceived shared ancestry or ethnic characteristics, citizenship, or residency in a country with a dominant religion or distinct religious identity." Cal. Educ. Code § 66261.3. Plaintiff's status as an Israeli national brings her within the Equity in Higher Education Act's protections.
- 74. Defendant is a "postsecondary educational institution" that is subject to the Equity in Higher Education Act, including its anti-discrimination provision, as set forth in Cal. Educ. Code § 66261.5.
- 75. Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination by Berkeley on the basis of her Israeli nationality. Plaintiff was treated differently by Berkeley because she is Israeli. By its actions, Berkeley intended to treat Plaintiff differently based on her Israeli identity as compared to

similarly situated non-Israeli persons.

- 76. The Equity in Higher Education Act's non-discrimination provision is enforceable through a civil action, as set forth in Cal. Educ. Code § 66292.4.
- 77. Plaintiff was injured as a result of Berkeley's actions by losing an employment and educational opportunity that would have significantly benefited her career, suffering reputational harm, emotional distress, and other harms that violate her rights.
- 78. Plaintiff has suffered harm as a direct and proximate result of Berkeley's actions in the form of general and special damages in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to economic damages, compensatory damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 79. Because of Berkeley's unwillingness to redress its admitted discrimination against Plaintiff, the discrimination against and injuries to Plaintiff remain ongoing. Thus, absent injunctive and declaratory relief against Berkeley, Plaintiff will continue to be harmed as a result of Berkeley's actions.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- 1. Declare that Berkeley has violated the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(a), and California Education Code § 66270;
- 2. Issue an injunction enjoining Berkeley from engaging in future discrimination against Plaintiff based on her Israeli national origin or identity;
- 3. Issue an injunction requiring Berkeley to enforce its nondiscrimination policies with regard to applicants and employees of Israeli national origin;
- 4. Award Plaintiff economic and compensatory damages, including for all past lost earnings (through the date of trial), all future lost earnings (after trial), and emotional distress;
 - 5. Award Plaintiff pre- and post-judgment interest under California law;
 - 6. Award Plaintiff the costs of this action and reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - 7. Award such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled or the Court

1	deems just and proper.	
2		
3	DATED: August 20, 2025	OLIVIER & SCHREIBER PC
4		
5		
6		By: /s/ Rachel Bien Rachel Bien
7		Rachel Blen
8		Rachel Bien (State Bar No. 351886)
9		595 E. Colorado Blvd., Suite 418
10		Pasadena, CA 91101 Telephone: (323) 325-3430
11		Facsimile: (415) 658-7758 rachel@os-legal.com
		racher@os-legar.com
12		Christian Schreiber (State Bar No. 245597) 475 14th Street, Suite 250
13		Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (415) 484-0980
14		Facsimile: (415) 658-7758
15		christian@os-legal.com
16		THE LOUIS D. BRANDEIS CENTER FOR
17		HUMAN RIGHTS UNDER LAW
18		Paul M. Eckles (State Bar No. 181156)
19		Deena Margolies (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> to be submitted) Rebecca L. Harris (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> to be submitted)
20		1330 Avenue of the Americas, 23 rd Fl. New York, NY 10019
21		Telephone: (202) 559-9296
		<u>peckles@brandeiscenter.com</u> <u>dmargolies@brandeiscenter.com</u>
22		rharris@brandeiscenter.com
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff Dr. Yael Nativ
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		