```
TRACY L. WILKISON
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
 2
    CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, National Security Division
    WILLIAM M. ROLLINS (Cal. Bar No. 287007)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Terrorism and Export Crimes Section
 5
         1500 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-7407
 7
         Facsimile: (213) 894-2927
         E-mail:
                     william.rollins@usdoj.gov
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                         No. CR 19-105-ODW
13
              Plaintiff,
                                         GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION
                                         FOR DEFENDANT DAVID CLARE LOHR
14
                   V.
                                         Hearing Date: October 4, 2021
15
    DAVID CLARE LOHR,
                                         Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m.
16
              Defendant.
17
18
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
19
    of record, the Acting United States Attorney for the Central District
20
    of California and Assistant United States Attorney William M.
21
    Rollins, hereby files its sentencing position with respect to
22
    defendant DAVID CLARE LOHR.
23
    //
24
    //
25
    //
26
    //
27
28
```

This sentencing position is based upon the attached memorandum of points and authorities, the files and records in this case, and such further evidence and argument as the Court may permit. Dated: September 21, 2021 Respectfully submitted, TRACY L. WILKISON Acting United States Attorney CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security Division /s/ William M. Rollins WILLIAM M. ROLLINS Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

Beginning in 2016, defendant DAVID CLARE LOHR ("defendant") repeatedly poured bleach chemicals onto food products such as bagged ice, cheese, and frozen seafood at multiple grocery stores in the Los Angeles area. On July 13, 2021, defendant pled guilty to Counts One, Five, and Six of the Indictment, which charged him with tampering with consumer products, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1365(a). (CR 82.)

Defendant is now before the Court for sentencing. Given the nature of defendant's crimes and his lengthy criminal history - but also to account for defendant's subsequent guilty plea, his apparent remorse, and mitigating mental health issues - the government respectfully recommends a sentence of 51 months' imprisonment, three years' supervised release, restitution in the amount of \$749.28, and a \$300 special assessment.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

In 2018 and 2019, surveillance videos captured defendant pouring bottles of bleach into refrigerators and freezers containing consumer products (such as ice and alcoholic beverages) at the locations of a large grocery store company (the "Grocery Store") in the Los Angeles area. (CR 1 ¶¶ 7-21.) Customers also reported smelling or handling consumer products contaminated by bleach (such as frozen shrimp) at some of those stores. (Id.)

On December 14, 2018 for example, defendant opened a bottle of bleach and poured it into a freezer containing beer at a grocery store in Manhattan Beach. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ ¶ 7.) Similarly, on December 16, 2018, defendant poured another bottle of bleach into a freezer

containing ice at a Redondo Beach grocery store. (Id. ¶¶ 8-11.)

During that incident, a customer and her child told a store employee (the "Employee") that something was wrong with the ice. (Id.) The child was carrying a bag of ice against his chest when he and his mother approached. When the Employee grabbed the ice from the child, he recognized the odor of bleach on the ice. The Employee and the child's mother both saw that the child's black shirt had changed colors, to a brown color; the Employee and the child's mother believed the color change was the result of bleach being pressed against the child's shirt. (Id.)

Defendant poured the bleach into refrigerators and freezers while the Grocery Store's locations were open to the public, and the consumer products contaminated by the bleach were accessible to grocery shoppers in aisles of the stores. (CR 1; CR 82 (Plea Agreement).) Bleach contains hazardous chemicals that can cause serious eye irritation, skin irritation, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. (CR 1 \P 20.)

Defendant has a lengthy criminal history, which includes prior convictions for felony battery, felony assault on a police officer, multiple felony grand theft convictions, theft by check, reckless driving, fleeing/attempting to elude police, theft of property, concealed weapon, resisting an officer, possession of stolen property, and assault. (PSR ¶¶ 41-56.) Defendant was serving a term of probation at the time he committed the instant offenses. (PSR ¶ 58).

III. THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT

On August 30, 2021 the United States Probation Office ("USPO") disclosed its Presentence Investigation Report ("PSR") and Sentencing

Recommendation Letter ("Letter") for defendant. (Dkts. 86, 87.)

Based on the above facts, and pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2N1.1, the USPO determined defendant's base offense level to be 25. (PSR ¶ 5.)

Following a three-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, the USPO calculated defendant's total offense level as 22. (PSR ¶ 39.)

With 18 criminal history points based on his prior convictions and defendant's commission of the instant offense while on probation, defendant's criminal history is Category VI. (PSR ¶¶ 57-59.) Given a criminal history category of VI and a total offense level of 22, the USPO determined that the applicable Guidelines range is 84 to 105 months' imprisonment. (PSR ¶ 87.) The USPO recommended a downward variance and sentence of 48 months' imprisonment and three years' supervised release. (USPO Rec. Ltr.) The USPO also recommended that defendant be ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$749.28 to account for the financial losses defendant caused to the grocery stores and a mandatory special assessment in the amount of \$300. (Id.)

IV. ARGUMENT

A 51-month sentence is appropriate in this case. The government concurs with the PSR's calculation of defendant's total offense level and agrees that a downward variance is warranted here, although the government respectfully submits that a five-level variance is appropriate. In addition, the government agrees with the USPO that a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level is warranted pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and moves for additional one-level reduction available under that section.

Based on an adjusted offense level of 17 - which represents a five-level downward variance from the total offense level of 22 - and a Criminal History Category of VI, defendant's adjusted Guidelines range would be 51-63 months' imprisonment. The government thus recommends an overall sentence of 51 months, at the low-end of the adjusted Guidelines range, and submits that such a sentence would be sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to meet the sentencing goals set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Importantly, consistent with the plea agreement and the USPO's recommendation, the government also recommends that the Court require defendant to participate in psychiatric treatment and take all psychiatric medications prescribed to him while on Supervised Release. After defendant is released from prison, the Court should also allow defendant to reside and receive support services via Care Industry 2 and mental health treatment from Augustus F. Hawkins Mental Health Center, located at 1220 E. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90011.

A. SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

The government respectfully requests that the Court adopt the factual findings and criminal history calculation of the PSR in this matter, and the additional information in this sentencing position. In accordance with the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1)-(7), the government recommends a five-level downward variance and that the Court impose the following sentence: (a) 51 months' imprisonment; (b) three years' supervised release; (c) restitution in the amount of \$749.28; and (d) a \$300 special assessment. Upon release, defendant should reside and receive support services at the Hawkins Mental Health Center.

The sentence recommended by the government is reasonable within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a). United States v. Booker requires the Court to consider the factors identified in Section 3553(a) when imposing sentence. 543 U.S. 220 (2005). Among the factors to be considered are: the nature and circumstances of the offense; the history and characteristics of the defendant; the need to protect the public from future crimes of the defendant; and the Sentencing Guidelines. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

In terms of the nature and circumstances of the offense, <u>see</u> 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), defendant engaged in dangerous crimes that put unsuspecting customers inside grocery stores – including children – at serious risk of injury had they consumed the products contaminated by defendant or inhaled bleach. In fact, during the December 16, 2018 incident at the Redondo Beach grocery store, a child inadvertently handled a bag of ice contaminated by defendant, resulting in stains on the child's shirt. (CR 1 ¶¶ 8-11.) Defendant engaged in these crimes again and again at different grocery stores throughout Southern California, exacerbating both the risk to the public and the fear that an individual or group of individuals were attempting to contaminate the food supply chain in the region. (See generally CR 1.)

Section 3553(a)(2) requires the Court to consider factors including the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, and to provide defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner. These considerations also weigh in favor a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

sentence of 51 months. Again, defendant committed crimes that put innocent, extremely vulnerable members of the public at risk of injury when they were simply trying to buy food for their families. As the Probation Office put it, "[t]he seriousness of the offense stems from the potential physical injury that touching or ingesting bleach can cause as well as the financial injury that occurs to the grocery store[.]" (USPO Recc. Ltr.) Moreover, defendant's instant offense follows years of flagrant contempt for the law by committing multiple felonies as an adult, including the crime that led to a term of probation at the time he tampered with consumer products here. See 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1) (requiring consideration of the "history and characteristics" of the defendant). Defendant's prior convictions involved theft by check, reckless driving, fleeing/attempting to elude police, theft of property, battery, concealed weapon, resisting an officer, battery on law enforcement officer, grand theft motor vehicle, possession of stolen property, and assault. (PSR $\P\P$ 41-56.)

Nevertheless, in this case, the government also recognizes that the beginning of defendant's criminal history "appears to coincide with the onset of his schizophrenia." (USPO Recc. Ltr.) Indeed, defendant recalls hearing voices and hallucinating in his late 20s, around the time he stopped working and interacting with his grandparents. (PSR \P 74.) Moreover, apparently in part because of his mental illness, defendant has been without a stable residence since the age of 29. (Id.)

Defendant is now taking Risperidone, which "has worked well in treating his schizophrenia" and he believes that he "will have to take this medication for the foreseeable future" and "expressed

interest in mental health treatment." (<u>Id.</u>) Defendant has also been cooperating with medical staff and following treatment recommendations. (<u>Id.</u>) Ultimately, defendant also admitted his guilt and took responsibility for his crimes. In light of these unique facts, the government believes that a five-level downward variance - and ongoing psychiatric care - are warranted. <u>United States v. Almenas</u>, 553 F.3d 27 (1st Cir. 2009) (affirming downward variance of 43 months based on defendant's combination of physical and mental disabilities); <u>United States v. Meyers</u>, 503 F.3d 676 (8th Cir. 2007) ("district court did not abuse its discretion in finding that a shorter period of incarceration, with mental health treatment and supervised release, is the most effective sentence").

Finally, section 3553(a)(2) requires the Court to consider the need to protect the public from further crimes of defendant. In this case, although defendant has shown significant improvement while incarcerated and while taking medication, his extensive criminal history and recidivism suggest that 51 months is the minimum amount of time necessary to deter him from committing future crimes against the public: According to the PSR, his lengthiest prior sentence was 36 months, an amount of time that was unfortunately insufficient to prevent defendant from posing the subsequent threat to the public that he did in this case. On balance, the government thus believes that a 51-month sentence followed by ongoing mental health and psychiatric treatment during supervised release is fair and just.

V. CONCLUSION

In sum, a term of 51 months' imprisonment would be "sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Case 2:19-cr-00105-ODW Document 89 Filed 09/21/21 Page 10 of 10 Page ID #:348

Restitution, a mandatory special assessment of \$200, and a three-year period of supervised release, with the conditions of supervised release recommended by the Probation Officer, are warranted under 18 U.S.C. $\S\S$ 3583(c) and (d). Dated: September 21, 2021 Respectfully submitted, TRACY L. WILKISON Acting United States Attorney CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security Division /s/ William M. Rollins WILLIAM M. ROLLINS Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA