```
NICOLA T. HANNA
 1
    United States Attorney
 2
    LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    WILLIAM M. ROLLINS (Cal. Bar No. 287007)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    General Crimes Section
         1500 United States Courthouse
 5
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-7407 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
 7
         E-mail:
                     william.rollins@usdoj.gov
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                     FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                         No. 17-CR-00125-JAK
13
              Plaintiff,
                                         GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING POSITION
14
                                         Hearing Date: September 6, 2018
                   v.
                                         Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m.
15
    RODNEY MICHAEL HASKINS,
                                         Location:
                                                        Courtroom of the
                                                        Hon. John A.
16
               Defendant.
                                                        Kronstadt
17
18
19
20
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
21
    of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
22
    California and Assistant United States Attorney William M. Rollins,
23
    hereby files its sentencing position with respect to defendant RODNEY
24
    MICHAEL HASKINS.
25
    //
26
    //
27
    //
28
    //
```


1	This sentencing position is based upon the attached memorandum		
2	2 of points and authorities, the files and records in	this case, and	
3	such further evidence and argument as the Court may permit.		
4	4 Dated: August 28, 2018 Respectfully submit	Respectfully submitted,	
5		NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney	
6	6	LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON	
7		ates Attorney	
8		131011	
9	9 /s/ William M. Rollins WILLIAM M. ROLLINS	lins	
10		ates Attorney	
11	Attorneys for Plair UNITED STATES OF AM		
12			
13			
14			
15	15		
16	16		
17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20			
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	28		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

On January 18, 2018, defendant RODNEY MICHAEL HASKINS

("defendant") pled guilty to Count Two of the Indictment in <u>United</u>

<u>States v. Haskins</u>, CR 17-00125-JAK, charging him with possession with intent to distribute at least 50 grams of methamphetamine, that is, approximately 110.72 grams of methamphetamine, in violation of 21

U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii). Pursuant to a written plea agreement, the government has agreed to dismiss Count One of the Indictment, which charged defendant with possession with intent to distribute at least 5 grams of methamphetamine in violation of 21

U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(viii).

On April 15, 2018, the United States Probation Office ("USPO") disclosed its Presentence Investigation Report ("PSR") and a Sentencing Recommendation letter. The USPO calculated a total offense level of 34 and a criminal history Category of VI, resulting in a Sentencing Guidelines range of 262 to 327 months' imprisonment. In its recommendation letter, the USPO recommended a significant downward variance and total term of imprisonment of 120 months.

The government concurs with the USPO's calculation of defendant's offense level, criminal history category, and Sentencing Guidelines range. Taking into account the sentencing factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the government agrees that a downward variance is warranted and recommends a term of imprisonment of 151 months. The government also recommends a term of five years' supervised release and the payment of a \$100 special assessment.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

This case arises out of defendant's sale of methamphetamine to a Confidential Informant ("CI") in August and September of 2016. (PSR ¶¶ 8-10.) On August 25, 2016, defendant and the CI discussed the sale of one ounce of methamphetamine at defendant's home. (Id.)

Defendant retrieved a bag containing 28.52 grams of 95% pure methamphetamine from his nightstand drawer and provided it to the CI in exchange for \$300. (Id.) On September 28, 2016, defendant once again sold the CI methamphetamine. (Id.) This time, defendant and the CI met in a Walmart parking lot, where the CI purchased 111.84 grams of 99% pure methamphetamine from defendant in exchange for \$1,250. (Id.)

On June 29, 2017, law enforcement executed a search warrant at defendant's residence, which he shared with a roommate. (PSR ¶ 11.) During the search, law enforcement recovered 11 methamphetamine pipes, syringes, drugs, and a digital scale. (Id.) In one of the bedrooms, law enforcement found \$1,129 in cash and a .12-gauge shotgun near the bed. (Id.)

Defendant has several prior felony convictions, including a 2002 conviction for possession of a controlled substance for sale in violation of Cal. Health & Saf. § 11378; a 2005 conviction for possession of a controlled substance for sale in violation of Cal. Health & Saf. § 11379(a); and a 2010 conviction for inflicting corporal injury on a spouse or cohabitant in violation of Cal. Pen. Code § 273.5(a). (PSR ¶¶ 37-51.) Both of defendant's prior drug felonies involved methamphetamine. (PSR ¶¶ 43-45.)

At the time of defendant's felony domestic violence crime, he was 43 years old. (PSR \P 46.) Defendant threw a wooden desk at the

Case 5:17-cr-00125-JAK-KK Document 47 Filed 08/28/18 Page 5 of 9 Page ID #:128

victim as she attempted to leave his house after an argument. (<u>Id.</u>)

The desk broke, and defendant and the victim fell to the floor.

(<u>Id.</u>) Defendant held the victim by the arms and slammed her body into the floor; he also held her by the hair and slammed her head into the floor. (<u>Id.</u>)

When deputies responded to the scene, they found the victim sitting in her car. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$) The victim had scratches on her chest and feet, and bruises and scratches on her arms. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$) She was bleeding from her feet and was transported to the hospital. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$)

III. THE PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT

Based on the above facts, and because the instant offense is a felony drug trafficking offense that follows a prior drug trafficking crime (H&S § 11378) and a crime of violence (CPC § 273.5(a)), the USPO determined that defendant is a Career Offender under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1(b)(1). (PSR ¶¶ 43, 46, 48.) Indeed, defendant and the government have agreed in the plea agreement that the Career Offender enhancement applies in this case. (Dkt. 36 at 6-7.) Accordingly, the USPO calculated defendant's total offense level – with a three-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility – as 34. (PSR ¶ 32.)

In light of the Career Offender enhancement, the USPO also determined that defendant's criminal history category is VI. (PSR ¶ 89.) Because defendant's total offense level is 34 and defendant's criminal history category is six, the USPO determined that the applicable Guidelines range is 262 to 327 months' imprisonment, five years-to-life of supervised release, and a fine of \$35,000 to \$1,000,000. (PSR ¶¶ 88-100.) The USPO recommended a downward variance of 142 months and a total term of imprisonment of 120 months. (See USPO Rec. Ltr.; PSR ¶ 104.) Defendant filed his

sentencing memorandum on August 23, 2018, asking that the Court impose a custodial sentence of no greater than 120 months. (Dkt. 46.)

2.0

2.2

2.4

IV. A SENTENCE OF 151 MONTHS IS APPROPRIATE UNDER THE § 3553(A) FACTORS

The government respectfully requests that the Court adopt the PSR's factual findings and Guidelines calculations. Additionally, while the government agrees that a downward variance is warranted, the government recommends that the Court sentence defendant to 151 months' imprisonment (rather than the mandatory minimum 120 month term of imprisonment recommended by the USPO), followed by five years of supervised release, and the payment of a \$100 special assessment. The government agrees with the PSR's determination of the offense level and the applicable Guidelines range.

In terms of the nature and circumstances of the offense, <u>see</u> 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), defendant engaged in a transaction to distribute 111.84 grams of methamphetamine at a level of 99% purity from a Wal-Mart parking lot in Riverside County on September 28, 2016. (PSR ¶ 10.) Defendant received \$1,250 in cash in exchange for the methamphetamine. (PSR ¶ 10.) The month before the September 28 deal, defendant sold about 28 grams of methamphetamine to the CI for \$300 on August 25, 2016. (PSR ¶ 9.) Moreover, during a search of defendant's apartment several months after the sale, law enforcement recovered a digital scale, \$1,129 in cash, and 11 methamphetamine pipes, all of which indicates that defendant was engaged in a pattern

The government apologizes to the Court for its belated sentencing position; defendant's August 23 sentencing memorandum and exhibits were relevant to the government's recommendation of a downward variance in this case, which was internally approved on August 28. Defense counsel indicated she did not object to a belated filing.

of methamphetamine dealing. (PSR \P 11.) The distribution of methamphetamine represents a significant threat to public safety that warrants a serious punishment.

Defendant also has a lengthy criminal history. (PSR ¶¶ 37-51.) His prior felonies include possession of controlled substance, drug sales, domestic violence, and battery of an officer. He also has prior convictions for disorderly conduct, driving with a suspended license, driving while intoxicated, failure to appear, and drug possession. Clearly, none of defendant's prior convictions or periods of incarceration deterred him from committing the instant offense, which once again involved methamphetamine. Furthermore, defendant was convicted of a serious domestic violence felony – a crime that required the victim to be transported to the hospital – just eight years ago, when he was 43 years old. (PSR ¶ 46.)

There are, however, certain factors that weigh in favor of a below-Guidelines sentence in this particular case. First, as the USPO points out, even the mandatory minimum 10-year term of imprisonment in this case would double the longest sentence that defendant has ever received. (See USPO Rec. Ltr.; PSR ¶ 45.) A tenyear federal sentence would also likely triple the longest amount of time that defendant has actually served behind bars. (PSR ¶ 45.) In addition, the government agrees that many of defendant's prior offenses appear driven by his addiction to methamphetamine, which defendant began using at the age of 18. As defendant notes, eight of his ten convictions are for drug possession or drug sales. (PSR ¶¶ 37-39, 41, 42 (noting methamphetamine), 43 (same), 44, 45 (same).) While drug abuse is ordinarily not a reason for a downward departure, U.S.S.G. § 5H1.4, the government nevertheless recognizes that

Case 5:17-cr-00125-JAK-KK Document 47 Filed 08/28/18 Page 8 of 9 Page ID #:131

defendant's criminal history is, in this case, consistent with a serious addiction to methamphetamine and likely contributed to his criminal behavior.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Given that section 3553(a)(2) requires the Court to consider the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, to protect the public from further crimes of defendant, and to provide defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner, the government recommends a below-Guidelines sentence of 151 months. Defendant committed a serious drug trafficking offense involving a substantial quantity of narcotics, and he is a Career Offender. said, a low-end Guidelines sentence of 262 months (nearly 22 years' imprisonment) may be greater than necessary to deter defendant from committing future crimes or to protect the public given defendant's age and prior terms of incarceration. Instead, the government believes that a 151-month sentence would sufficiently provide both specific and general deterrence while protecting the public, and it would take into account the nature of the current crime, the length of defendant's prior sentences, the seriousness and recentness of his prior convictions, as well as the unique aspects of defendant's background that likely contributed to the instant offense.

Finally, section 3553(a)(6) requires the Court to minimize sentencing disparity among similarly-situated defendants. Using the Sentencing Guidelines and the 3553(a) factors to sentence defendant in light of his unique background - both of which weigh in favor of a below-Guidelines sentence in this case - accomplishes this goal.

Case 5:17-cr-00125-JAK-KK Document 47 Filed 08/28/18 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:132

V. CONCLUSION

In sum, a term of imprisonment of 151 months would be "sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). A mandatory special assessment of \$100 and a five-year period of supervised release, with the conditions of supervised release recommended by the Probation Officer, is similarly warranted under 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(A), 18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(c) and (d).

Dated: August 28, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney

LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division

/s/ William M. Rollins
WILLIAM M. ROLLINS
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA