

**QUESTIONNAIRE FOR JUDICIAL NOMINEES****I. BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION (PUBLIC)**

1. **Full name (include any former names used.)**

Susan Pia Graber

I have never used another name.

2. **Address: List current place of residence and office address(es).**

Place of Residence: Portland, Oregon

Office: Oregon Supreme Court  
1163 State Street  
Salem, Oregon 97310

3. **Date and place of birth.**

July 5, 1949  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

4. **Marital Status (include maiden name of wife, or husband's name). List spouse's occupation, employer's name and business address(es).**

Married.

Spouse: Christopher William June  
My husband is a self-employed consultant and mediator (as sole owner and employee of On-Point, Inc.)  
1975 S.W. Edgewood Road  
Portland, Oregon 97201

5. **Education: List each college and law school you have attended, including dates of attendance, degrees received, and dates degrees were granted.**

Wellesley College  
Wellesley, Massachusetts  
Attended 1965 - 1969  
Bachelor of Arts, 1969

3. **Is there a selection commission in your jurisdiction to recommend candidates for nomination to the federal courts? If so, did it recommend your nomination? Please describe your experience in the entire judicial selection process, from beginning to end (including the circumstances which led to your nomination and interviews in which you participated).**

There is no selection commission in my jurisdiction to recommend candidates for nomination to the United States Court of Appeals.

When I learned of the impending retirement of Ninth Circuit Judge Edward Leavy, whose chambers are in Portland, I informed the President by letter of my interest in being considered for that vacancy. His response stated that he had referred the matter to the White House Counsel's Office. In the course of the judicial selection process, I have been interviewed by representatives of the White House Counsel's Office, the Justice Department, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the American Bar Association's Standing Committee on Federal Judiciary. I also met with Oregon's two United States Senators, Ron Wyden and Gordon Smith. Finally, I have completed (in addition to this form) forms for the Justice Department, FBI, and ABA.

4. **Has anyone involved in the process of selecting you as a judicial nominee discussed with you any specific case, legal issue or question in a manner that could reasonably be interpreted as asking how you would rule on such case, issue, or question? If so, please explain fully.**

No.

5. **Please discuss your views on the following criticism involving "judicial activism."**

The role of the Federal judiciary within the Federal government, and within society generally, has become the subject of increasing controversy in recent years. It has become the target of both popular and academic criticism that alleges that the judicial branch has usurped many of the prerogatives of other branches and levels of government.

Some of the characteristics of this "judicial activism" have been said to include:

- a. **A tendency by the judiciary toward problem-solution rather than grievance-resolution;**
- b. **A tendency by the judiciary to employ the individual plaintiff as a vehicle for the imposition of far-reaching orders extending to broad classes of individuals;**