



Fwd: Any windows today?
James Martin to: Jim Martin

02/05/2013 09:32 AM

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: May 24, 2012 6:07:54 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatten@edf.org>
Subject: Re: Any windows today?

Great

Sent from my iPhone

On May 24, 2012, at 7:02 AM, Vickie Patton <vpatten@edf.org> wrote:

Perfect. Will call you cell.

From: James Martin [mailto:jamesbmartin@me.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2012 08:59 AM
To: Vickie Patton
Subject: Re: Any windows today?

How about noon mountain?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 24, 2012, at 5:33 AM, Vickie Patton <vpatten@edf.org> wrote:

Hi Jim, Do you have any time to talk today/tomorrow? Best wishes, Vickie

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.



Fwd: Any windows today?
James Martin
to:
Jim Martin, .jim
02/05/2013 09:32 AM
Hide Details
From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>

To: Jim Martin/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, .jim

History: This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: May 24, 2012 5:59:19 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>
Subject: Re: Any windows today?

How about noon mountain?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 24, 2012, at 5:33 AM, Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org> wrote:

Hi Jim, Do you have any time to talk today/tomorrow? Best wishes, Vickie

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.



Fwd: Oil and gas
James Martin
to:
Jim Martin
02/01/2013 01:56 PM
Hide Details
From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>

To: Jim Martin/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

History: This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: April 16, 2012 10:12:05 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>
Subject: Oil and gas

The internal calendar has LPJ signing the rule tomorrow. Not definitive, but possible.

Jim

Sent from my iPhone



Fwd: New Contact
James Martin
to:
Jim Martin
02/01/2013 01:56 PM
Hide Details
From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>

To: Jim Martin/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

History: This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "James Martin" <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: April 16, 2012 9:41:10 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>
Subject: Re: FW: New Contact

thx

On Apr 15, 2012, at 11:05 PM, Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org> wrote:

This is news to me.

From: Stephen Flaherty [<mailto:Stephen.Flaherty@halliburton.com>]

Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2012 4:48 PM
To: Vickie Patton
Subject: New Contact

Vickie I hope all is well. As you may have heard I recently took a job with Halliburton. My girls are of the age now that requires me to be home more and I wasn't going to become father of the year on a plane. I was fortunate to find an opportunity that will allow me to be in Colorado more and still work these issues. I am the director of state gov't affairs but will be hiring people across the county. I am responsible for the West.

I look forward to working with you in my new position. Thanks

Stephen A. Flaherty

Director State and Local Government Affairs

Halliburton

1125 17th Street

Suite 1900

Denver, CO 80202

303-899-4768 office

303-483-3355 cell

Stephen.flaherty@halliburton.com

This e-mail, including any attached files, may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive information for the intended recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete all copies of this

message.

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.h



Fwd: Emissions and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis
James Martin to: Jim Martin

02/01/2013 01:49 PM

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: March 31, 2012 9:08:38 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatt@edf.org>
Subject: Re: Emissions and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

We are off on errands. Does 2:00 work for you?

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 31, 2012, at 9:14 AM, Vickie Patton <vpatt@edf.org> wrote:

Jim, Thank you. What time works best for you?

From: James Martin [mailto:jamesbmartin@me.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 31, 2012 11:12 AM
To: Vickie Patton
Subject: Re: Emissions and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Vickie - we should talk later today.

Jim

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 30, 2012, at 11:22 PM, Vickie Patton <vpatt@edf.org> wrote:

Strictly Confidential

Jim, Kate,

We submitted the attached memo (in pdf here) to the docket yesterday re the co-production well issue – defining the shift in market fundamentals and EPA's responsibility to address these wells.

Leland Deck, an economist at Stratus, has also prepared the DRAFT supplemental cost-effectiveness analysis attached here. We are preparing to

decline that request. Assume DOJ/EPA have filed motion for good cause to enlarge time this evening (we are checking the docket).

Hope you both are well.

Best wishes, Vickie

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.

<A - Cost-Effectiveness Data Co-producing Wells FINAL March 29 - 2012.pdf>
<A - Stratus Methods Memo on ND frac VOC cost effectiveness pz edits TO PZ -
Near Final.docx>
<A - Appendix Exhibit 1 - Near Final.docx>
<A - Appendix Exhibit 2 Near Final.docx>
<Deck 2011.docx>



Fwd: Question

James Martin to: Jim Martin

02/01/2013 01:47 PM

History: This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: March 29, 2012 8:12:29 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>
Subject: Re: Question

He should talk with Bill Ritter. Maybe Jim Carpenter and/or Kelly Nordini.
But happy to talk with him.
Jim

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 29, 2012, at 8:25 AM, Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org> wrote:

Heads up. Confdl. Bob is Gov Cuomo's #1 on energy/envt. Any other suggestions? Hope you are well.

----- Original Message -----

From: Vickie Patton
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 10:16 AM
To: (b) (6) @gmail.com' <(b) (6) @gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Question

Bob, My sincere apologies for the delay. May I introduce you to Jim Martin? He was Gov Ritter's strategic advisor on this matter (headed CDPHE and then DNR) and is now head of EPA Region 8. I can do so discreetly. He is superb and the very best thinker on these matters. We need all the help you can muster in supporting EPA's proposed GHG emission standards for new plants. Commissioner Martens' statement on Tues was wonderful, NY AG's office co-party in matter with EDF et al. Best wishes, Vickie

----- Original Message -----

From: Robert M. Hallman [mailto:(b) (6) @gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 25, 2012 02:17 PM
To: Vickie Patton

Subject: Question

Hi: who is the best person(s) to discuss your and CO's plan to phase out coal plants with particular focus on addressing economic impacts on local tax base and employment in affected areas?

Also do you know whether Sierra Club has devised any transition plans/ proposals in connection with its campaign?

Met a friend of yours-Matt Fuchs now at IBM last week

Hope all is well.Best,

Bob

--

Sent from my mobile device

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.



Fwd: Question on NSPS for GHGs
James Martin to: Jim Martin

02/01/2013 01:45 PM

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: March 25, 2012 3:51:31 PM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>
Subject: Re: Question on NSPS for GHGs

Good question. San Fran and Seattle would be friendlier forums but CA has no coal plants and WA is phasing out its one plant. Choosing either may create opportunities for the industry to claim EPA is tilting the playing field. Denver would not have that problem plus it is centrally located and pretty easy to get to. So I would have started out by suggesting the other two but end up proposing Denver.

Plus you could play up the RPS and CACJ here, too. The gas industry has way more presence here, too. One last point in its favor - it will make Roy Palmer nervous!

For what it's worth.

Jim

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 25, 2012, at 3:19 PM, Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org> wrote:

Confidential

Hi Jim, EPA may propose NSPS for greenhouse gases for new power plants within next few days (if President decides to go forward with this). There are discussions about potential public hearing venues in the West and some have asked for our confidential input. What do you think makes sense — Denver, Seattle or San Francisco?

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.



Fwd: Highlights from Today's Court Decisions: EPA is "Unambiguously Correct"

James Martin to: Jim Martin

02/05/2013 09:35 AM

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>

Date: June 28, 2012 6:45:32 AM

To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>

Subject: Re: Highlights from Today's Court Decisions: EPA is "Unambiguously Correct"

Vickie - great news. But please, please, please use my work email address for any communications even remotely related to my work.

Thanks

Jim

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 26, 2012, at 12:47 PM, Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org> wrote:

NEWS RELEASE

Contact:

Vickie Patton, 720-837-6239, vpatton@edf.org

Sharyn Stein, 202-572-3396, sstein@edf.org

Highlights from Today's U.S. Court of Appeals Decision Affirming Historic Climate Protections

Court Says EPA is "Unambiguously Correct" and Does Not Have to "Re-Prove the Existence of the Atom"

(Washington, DC – June 26, 2012) Today, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ruled in favor of clean air protections in four major cases involving climate pollution.

The court upheld the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) science-based finding that greenhouse gas emissions endanger public health and welfare (the Endangerment Finding) and the Clean Car Standards that will save families money at the gas pump, reduce American dependence on imported oil, and cut dangerous climate pollution.

The court also dismissed petitions challenging the requirement for large industrial sources

to install modern cost-effective solutions to address greenhouse gases, and EPA's common sense approach to shield small sources from liability (the Timing and Tailoring Rules).

"The court's decision is unanimous, thorough, strong, and emphatic in affirming EPA's historic clean air standards addressing climate pollution in America," said Vickie Patton, General Counsel for Environmental Defense Fund.

We realize not everyone wants to read the entire 82 page decision (although you can, here). So here are our choices for some of the best and most pertinent quotes from the court:

Summary of the Decision

"But for the reasons set forth below, we conclude: 1) the Endangerment Finding and Tailpipe Rule are neither arbitrary nor capricious; 2) EPA's interpretation of the governing CAA provisions is unambiguously correct; and 3) no petitioner has standing to challenge the Timing and Tailoring Rules. We thus dismiss for lack of jurisdiction all petitions for review of the Timing and Tailoring Rules, and deny the remainder of the petitions." (Page 16)

Re: The Climate Pollution Endangerment Finding

EPA properly relied on comprehensive scientific assessments by authorities such as the National Academies of Science and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: "This is how science works. EPA is not required to re-prove the existence of the atom every time it approaches a scientific question." (Page 27)

"The record also supports EPA's conclusion that climate change endangers human welfare by creating risk to food production and agriculture, forestry, energy, infrastructure, ecosystems, and wildlife. Substantial evidence further supported EPA's conclusion that the warming resulting from the greenhouse gas emissions could be expected to create risks to water resources and in general to coastal areas as a result of expected increase in sea level." (Page 30)

Re: The Clean Car Standards

"Furthermore, the Tailpipe Rule provides benefits above and beyond those resulting from NHTSA's fuel economy standards." (Page 42)

Re: Carbon Pollution Limits for Big New Power Plants and Industrial Sources (the Timing and Tailoring Rules)

"Congress made perfectly clear that the PSD program was meant to protect against precisely the types of harms caused by greenhouse gases." (Page 58)

"Given this, neither the Timing nor Tailoring Rules caused the injury Petitioners allege: having to comply with PSD and Title V for greenhouse gases... Indeed, the Timing and Tailoring Rules actually mitigate Petitioners' purported injuries." (Page 77)

###

Environmental Defense Fund (edf.org), a leading national nonprofit organization, creates transformational solutions to the most serious environmental problems. EDF links science, economics, law and innovative private-sector partnerships. See twitter.com/EnvDefenseFund; facebook.com/EnvDefenseFund



Fwd: A Request.....
James Martin to: Jim Martin

02/01/2013 01:24 PM

History: This message has been forwarded.

Begin forwarded message:

From: James Martin <jamesbmartin@me.com>
Date: November 28, 2011 11:24:42 AM
To: Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org>
Subject: Re: A Request.....

Vickie - it would be a pleasure. And so far, both days appear to be totally open. So you can name the date and time.

Had a lovely, very quiet Thanksgiving. Hope yours was just as nice.

Cheers

Jim

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 27, 2011, at 7:55 PM, Vickie Patton <vpatton@edf.org> wrote:

Hi Jim, EDF's clean air team is meeting in the Boulder office on Jan 12 and 13. Would you consider stopping by the office either the morning of the 12th or 13th to spend 45 minutes sharing your thoughts about the most pressing issues? It would be incredibly helpful for the EDF crew to hear and learn from you. I hope the past few days were full of joy. Best wishes, Vickie

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.